

# RAILROAD COMMISSION OF TEXAS

## CONTRACT MANAGMENT

### ADDENDUM #3

**DATE:** 03/10/2020

**RFQ#:** 455-20-1031

**TITLE:** STATEWIDE WELL PLUGGING SERVICES

**PROPOSAL DEADLINE:** Thursday April 23, 2020 at 3:00 PM Central Time

#### Addendum Item #1:

**Purpose of this Addendum is to publicize delivery of responses to RRC:**

**\*\*\*\*NOTE\*\*\*\* DUE TO COVID-19 (CORONAVIRUS) RRC IS NOT ACCEPTING HAND DELIVERY RESPONSES. ALL RESPONSES MUST BE DELIVERED TO RRC COMMERCIALY SUCH AS: FED-EX, USPS, DHL, UPS, ETC.**

#### Addendum Item #2:

**Purpose of this Addendum is to publicize submitted questions with answers:**

No.	QUESTIONS	ANSWERS
1	a). To confirm, there are no rate sheets included in this request, correct?  b). Responses should be submitted as per page 10, Section 2.9 of the RFQ.	a). Correct, rate sheets are not included as part of the initial response.  b). Rate sheets will be required after vendors have been qualified, prior to being awarded a contract.
2	Does the RRC have any information reflecting the number of wells to be plugged in each district?	The Legislative Budget Board (LBB) has delegated RRC with a performance measure of plugging 1,400 wells for fiscal years 2020 and 2021 (2800 wells collectively).
3	Does the RRC have any information reflecting the number of P&A rigs needed in each district?	Not at this time. The number of P&A rigs per district is dependent on industry activity and district staffing levels.

4	<p>a). Is it possible for a well service company to bid in every district - subject to a certain number of rigs being available?</p> <p>b). For example, if a company has 20 P&amp;A rigs available for the work covered by the RFQ, can the company bid in every district - subject to the limitation that only 20 rigs would be available?</p> <p>c). If the company was the winning bidder in several or all districts (and more than 20 rigs would be required for the work in the awarded districts), the RRC would determine to which awarded districts the 20 rigs would be utilized.</p>	<p>a). Yes</p> <p>b). Yes</p> <p>c). That is correct. If a vendor is awarded a contract in multiple district, the RRC would determine where the rigs would be utilized.</p>
5	<p>a). In prior years we have been required to submit a response per district that we were willing to provide services for. On page 7 section 2.2 there is a statement regarding multiple solicitations submitted in separate envelopes. Is this RFQ considered 1 solicitation or each response per district considered a solicitation? Do we return a response per district with the requirements listed in section 2.7.1 page 9 or just 1 set and you will use the list we provide in our Executive Summary? Example: If we are submitting for District 2 and District 3, do we submit an original, 3 copies, and an electronic copy for District 2 and another original, 3 copies, and an electronic copy for District 3? Or is the list of districts we request to provide services for in our Executive Summary sufficient and we only submit 1 original, 3 copies, and an electronic copy in total?</p> <p>b). Approximately when will the "effective date" for the contract be? Will it be when the process is completed or at the beginning of your fiscal year?</p>	<p>a). Solicitation 455-20-1031 is considered one (1) solicitation.</p> <p>For clarification, the language cited to on page 7, Section 2.2 is standard and contemplates a situation where the RRC has multiple, distinct solicitations available for response. For example, solicitations for: A) well plugging; and B) copier maintenance. In that situation, if a vendor wanted to respond to both solicitations A and B, he should mail the responses in two separate envelopes.</p> <p>b). The "effective date" of the contract will be when the last signature on the contract is executed.</p>
6	<p>a). If a bonding company is not provided Attachment 3, pg. 42 would that be considered "NON RESPONSIVE"?</p> <p>b). In paragraph 2.9.3 EXPERIENCE AND QUALIFICATIONS. Tab C includes details of past projects. Is this referring to information on the projects listed in attachment 3, pg. 43 &amp; 44 or a separate narrative?</p> <p>b-1). Also, there is a reference to TAB E. Is that a misprint? TAB E covers equipment.</p> <p>c). How is federally funded plugging different from RRC plugging aside from who pays for the work?</p>	<p>a). RRC is not requiring bonds (Attachment 3, page 42) to be submitted with responses. Responses received that do not have Attachment 3, page 42 included will "NOT" be considered "NON-RESPONSIVE".</p> <p>b). Yes, this is referring to information provided on Attachment 3, pages 43 &amp; 44. RRC is requesting information on three (3) successful Non-RRC projects and/or any work performed under any RRC Master Service Agreement (MSA) or Contract referenced under:</p> <p><b>"RESPONDENT'S PREVIOUS RRC WORK EXPERIENCE:</b>  Has Respondent ever performed prior work under contract or subcontract with RRC? _____ YES _____ NO. " (found on bottom of page 44). Please see question 10 for clarification.</p> <p>b-1). This is typo. "Tab F" is the correct tab and not "Tab E".</p> <p>c). Federally funded projects may have additional terms, grant conditions, and reporting requirements than state funded projects. These requirements will vary based on factors such as project location and funding source. Vendors would be notified of any additional reporting</p>

		requirements at the time a work order is issued and the RRC will coordinate with vendors to complete administrative requirements. At this time there are no federal requirements or information needed when submitting a response.
7	a). Is it acceptable to put additional information on Tabs B, C, D, & E on company letterhead, or should the information be on blank paper?	a). Yes, it ok to provide additional information on company letterhead for all Tabs.
8	<p>a). I am having difficulty organizing responses to the RFQ. Tab C on page 11 says to include elaboration &amp; details related to projects in the Respondent's Qualification Statement form contained within Tab E Respondent's Submittals (pages 41-44). Should these 4 pages of Attachment 3 be placed under Tab C when submitted?</p> <p>b). Also, Tab E (page 11) is the Respondent's Equipment. The attachments that go with that are 2 pages of Attachment 5 (sample equipment list &amp; specifications, pages 56-57). Somehow I am missing the connection between Tab C and Tab E, in submittals that is. Would you please clarify?</p> <p>c). One more thing, on page 2 (Table of Contents) the list of Attachment pages has some errors. There are 5 pages of Attachment 2 instead of 4, 4 pages of Attachment 3 instead of 5, 11 pages of Attachment 4, instead of 9, and 5 pages of Attachment 6 instead of 6. I'm just trying to be as accurate as possible and trying to promote clarity.</p>	<p>a). No do not place Attachment 3 under Tab C. Tab C shall be an elaboration on the three (3) projects listed by Respondent on Attachment 3. See question 6(B) above for further clarification.</p> <p>b). Correction, Tab E, should be Tab F. See question 6. (B)(1) for clarification.</p> <p>c). Correct, Attachment 2 has (5 pages), Attachment 3 has (4 pages), Attachment 4 has (11 pages), Attachment 6 has (5 pages).</p>
9	<p>a). Explanation of a state computer system or database and who would typically have access to such a sight. As described in attachment #2, page 38</p> <p>b). Texas government code 2252.908 and if every vendor needs a "Certificate of Interested Parties.". As described in attachment #2, page 38</p>	<p>a). The referenced section 21 on pg. 38 is a standard contract term that is always included in RRC solicitations. It is typically relevant for IT services where vendors access the RRC and state networks. The Commission does not foresee that section 21 will be implicated in this particular solicitation for well plugging services, nevertheless it is expressly included as a contract term and Vendors are put on notice of state cybersecurity training requirements.</p> <p>b). The referenced section 27 on page 38 is only required for Vendors who are "selected for award of a Contract arising from this RFQ, prior to submitting the signed Contract to RRC..."</p>
10	a). For pluggers who have worked almost exclusively for the RRC of Texas on SFP codes, it is extremely difficult to find projects of any size or scope in the last 5 years which are not SFP codes; however, we have numerous large projects of wide scope we have done for the RRC of Texas in the last 5 years. Attachment 3, Respondent's Experience Record, says to "Provide 3 successful Non-RRC projects..." Is there any leeway on this requirement to be able to use SFP code projects?	<p>a). Yes there is, Attachment 3 requires Respondents to "Provide 3 successful Non-RRC projects and/or any work performed under any RRC Master Service Agreement (MSA) or Contract referenced under:</p> <p><b>“RESPONDENT’S PREVIOUS RRC WORK EXPERIENCE:</b>  Has Respondent ever performed prior work under contract or subcontract with RRC? _____ YES _____ NO. “ (found on bottom of page 44).  Respondents who only have RRC experience can provide RRC with a comprehensive description of their qualifications under Tab C (page 11).</p>

All other aspects of the **RFQ# 455-20-1031** remain as is.

Jesse Herrera, CPPB, CTCM, CTCD  
Contract Manager  
Contract Management  
**Phone:** 512-463-6736  
**Email:** jesse.herrera@rrc.texas.gov

---

---

**RECEIPT OF ADDENDUM #3 OF RFQ #455-20-1031**

IN YOUR SUBMITTAL THE RESPONDENT SHALL ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF THIS  
ADDENDUM.

---

**Respondent Signature**

---

**Date**

---

**Printed Name**

---

**Company Name**